## Checklist of Mixed Methods Elements in a Submission to Advance the Methodology of Mixed Methods Research

Title  I. Does the title directly indicate or sufficiently allude to the methodological contribution of the article?  Abstract  2. Does the abstract include an explicit statement about a methodological challenge or issue in the field that will be addressed in the article?  3. Does the abstract indicate the methodological/theoretical contribution of the article to the field of mixed methods research?  Main text of the article  4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?  5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the methodological aim?	ot applicable, dicate NA)
I. Does the title directly indicate or sufficiently allude to the methodological contribution of the article?  Abstract  2. Does the abstract include an explicit statement about a methodological challenge or issue in the field that will be addressed in the article?  3. Does the abstract indicate the methodological/theoretical contribution of the article to the field of mixed methods research?  Main text of the article  4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?  5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
2. Does the abstract include an explicit statement about a methodological challenge or issue in the field that will be addressed in the article?  3. Does the abstract indicate the methodological/theoretical contribution of the article to the field of mixed methods research?  Main text of the article  4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?  5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
challenge or issue in the field that will be addressed in the article?  3. Does the abstract indicate the methodological/theoretical contribution of the article to the field of mixed methods research?  Main text of the article  4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?  5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
3. Does the abstract indicate the methodological/theoretical contribution of the article to the field of mixed methods research?  Main text of the article  4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?  5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
the article to the field of mixed methods research?  Main text of the article  4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?  5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
<ul> <li>Main text of the article</li> <li>4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?</li> <li>5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?</li> <li>6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4. Does the article have a clear writing style with sufficient headers and subheaders such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?</li> <li>5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?</li> <li>6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the</li> </ul>	
headers such that the reader can readily follow the flow and argumentation?  5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
<ul> <li>5. Does the text in the background reiterate and expand upon the methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?</li> <li>6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the</li> </ul>	
methodological challenge or issue as identified in the abstract?  6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant	
6. Does the background contain a rigorous review and citations of relevant and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
and recent mixed methods literature to support examining the	
7. Does the background include an explicit methodological aim?	
8. Does the background contain an explication of the article's structure and methodological points that will be addressed?	
9. In the body of article, are each of the methodological points identified in #8	
addressed persuasively in the order specified?	
10. Does the article include a strategy to convey the overall complexity of the	
topic or study phenomenon such as a figure or illustration?	
11. In the discussion, are the explicit points made in #8 synthesized together	
to logically support the overarching methodological aim?	
12. Does the discussion section include a specific subsection "Contribution to	
the Field of Mixed Methods Research" that reviews the points made and extant literature to articulate the articles novel contribution(s) to mixed methods?	
13. Does the article have a discussion of the methodological limitations?	
14. Does the discussion section include recommendations for future mixed — —	
methods inquiry based on the paper's unique contribution or limitations?	
15. Have the references been cited according to the current American	
Psychological Association style?	
Additional elements for empirical methodological articles only	
16. Does the background of the article include explicit statements of both the   — —	
methodological aim and purpose of the empirical study separately?	
17. Does the description of the methods include sufficient detail about the	
procedures used and present these in a logical order?  18. Does the submission include a procedural diagram of the data collection	
and analysis procedures as a figure?	
19. Does the submission include a table, matrix or visual structure, e.g., joint	
display, to illustrate integration and interpretation of the qualitative and	
quantitative findings?	
20. Does the discussion articulate how the use of a mixed methods approach	
advanced a greater understanding of the substantive topic compared to using a monomethod approach?	