



International Journal of Accounting and Information Management

Firms' information system characteristics and management accounting adaptability

Ogan Yigitbasioglu

Article information:

To cite this document:

Ogan Yigitbasioglu, (2016), "Firms' information system characteristics and management accounting adaptability", International Journal of Accounting and Information Management, Vol. 24 Iss 1 pp. 20 - 37

Permanent link to this document:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-10-2014-0066>

Downloaded on: 06 April 2016, At: 08:05 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 94 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 187 times since 2016*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2016), "Accounting quality and financing arrangements in emerging economies", International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, Vol. 24 Iss 1 pp. 2-19 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-09-2014-0061>

(2016), "Risk management and firm value: recent theory and evidence", International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, Vol. 24 Iss 1 pp. 56-81 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-05-2015-0027>

(2016), "Accounting for derivatives and risk management activities: The impact of product market competition", International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, Vol. 24 Iss 1 pp. 82-96 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-05-2015-0028>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:559421 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

Firms' information system characteristics and management accounting adaptability

Ogan Yigitbasioglu

*School of Accountancy, Queensland University of Technology,
Brisbane, Australia*

Abstract

Purpose – This study aims to explore the relation between the qualities of the information system (IS), management accounting adaptability (MAA) and its effectiveness.

Design/methodology/approach – This study involves the development and empirical testing of a model where the qualities of the IS and management accounting effectiveness (MAE) are mediated by MAA.

Findings – Information system flexibility (ISF) and shared knowledge had a significant and positive relation to MAA, which in turn had a positive and significant relation to MAE. There was also a moderation effect of ISF on the relation between IS integration and MAA.

Research limitations/implications – IS integration in itself may not lead to management accounting stability, but it is the lack of flexibility of the system and lack of cooperation between the stakeholders that might lead to its stagnation.

Practical implications – Organizations are advised to implement solutions that are relatively flexible and modular, as well as encourage cooperation between stakeholders to fully leverage and improve the existing system.

Originality/value – The study extends the discourse on the interaction between management accounting and ISs by exploring the role of a number of factors that drive MAA.

Keywords Enterprise resource planning, Management accounting change, Adaptability, Integrated information systems

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

Management accounting provides management with much critical, useful and needed information, but there is evidence that it can adversely affect the performance in the absence of fit (Melnyk *et al.*, 2013). In line with this view, contingency theory suggests that management accounting practices in organizations should evolve with changing idiosyncratic circumstances that are internal and external to the firm (Brignall, 1997; Burns and Stalker, 1961; Lawrence and Lorsch, 1986). For example, Hofstede (1967), an early adopter of this theory, explains the functioning of the budgeting system through economic, technological and sociological factors. Thus, management accounting change is known to be associated with global competition, changes in manufacturing technology (Innes and Mitchell, 1990), information technology (IT) (Waweru *et al.*, 2004), the performance gap (Jun Lin and Yu, 2002), organizational structure (Abernethy and Bouwens, 2005; Cavalluzzo and Ittner, 2004), top management support (Cavalluzzo and



Ittner, 2004), the influence of government (Lapsley and Wright, 2004) and strategy (Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003; Fullerton *et al.*, 2012).

As organizations are required to *adapt* to their environments (Boisot and Child, 1999, p. 1), the ability of management accounting to change over time, which I refer to as *adaptability*, is critical to sustain management accounting fit. This may be challenging as research found that, among others, the lack of adequate accounting skills, new shareholders, fear of change and lack of communication between management and staff hinder change (Burns and Scapens, 2000; Hopwood, 1990; Innes and Mitchell, 1990). Furthermore, although computing resources are traditionally seen as facilitators of change (Innes and Mitchell, 1990), it is suggested that integrated information systems (IISs) lead to technological embeddedness (Volkoff *et al.*, 2007) and management accounting stability (Davenport, 1998; Granlund and Malmi, 2002; Rom and Rohde, 2007).

Evidence suggests that certain characteristics of information systems (ISs) are more conducive to change. For example, Krumwiede (1998) suggests that organizations with higher-quality ISs as in the case of IISs may be able to implement advanced measurement systems such as activity-based costing more easily than organizations with less sophisticated ISs because measurement costs are lower. Similarly, a number of other studies report that data quality and availability impede the development of new management accounting systems (Cavalluzzo and Ittner, 2004; Gates, 1999; Ittner and Larcker, 1998; Shields, 1995). Thus, the literature argues that ISs seem to both support and inhibit change. It is this particular issue that I focus on in this paper and that drives the research question:

RQ1. What information system characteristics affect management accounting adaptability?

The main purpose of this paper is to explore what factors pertaining to the IS of an organization explain the degree to which management accounting is adaptable. I also examine whether management accounting adaptability (MAA) is a predictor of management accounting effectiveness (MAE).

This study makes a number of contributions to the management accounting change, innovation and accounting ISs literatures. First, this study identifies specific factors relating to ISs that may act as facilitators of management accounting change (Innes and Mitchell, 1990; Taipaleenmäki and Ikaheimo, 2011). Second, I conceptualize and focus on MAA, which is a dynamic construct that measures the ability to make changes to management accounting practices when required. Finally, I study the relation between MAA and MAE.

IISs and management accounting

Support for management accounting is provided by solutions, such as enterprise resource planning systems (ERPs) and budgeting software (Granlund and Malmi, 2002; Rom and Rohde, 2007). ERPs integrate organizational data and provide easy and fast access to operational data, which in turn affect the ability of management accounting to provide managerially relevant and usable information (Cooper and Kaplan, 1998; Davenport, 1998). ERPs are also known as IISs because the software itself or when it is used in conjunction with other software (e.g. business intelligence

solutions) is *integrated* in the sense that data are stored in one place and computers can communicate with one another through a shared network (Rom and Rohde, 2007).

Research on IISs began in the late 1990s and primarily focused on the effects of such systems in terms of stock-market reactions (Ajit *et al.*, 2014; Hayes *et al.*, 2001), as well as organizational performance (Hunton *et al.*, 2003; Nicolaou, 2004; Nicolaou and Bhattacharya, 2006; Velcu, 2007). A number of researchers have also looked at the relation between IISs and management accounting and control (Chapman and Kihn, 2009; Granlund and Malmi, 2002; Rom and Rohde, 2007; Scapens and Jazayeri, 2003; Wagner *et al.*, 2011). Often, this relationship is considered unidirectional (i.e. that IISs impacts management accounting, as difficulties of changing ERPSs forces companies to work with initial configurations and failures) (Davenport, 1998; Dechow and Mouritsen, 2005). This might suggest that the adoption of new management accounting techniques would become difficult once an IS is in place. On the other hand, Rom and Rohde (2007) claim that there may be a bidirectional relationship between IISs and management accounting, as users can reconfigure the systems incrementally, leading to significant changes over time. Quattrone and Hopper (2006) illustrate a case where such reconfiguration lasts for four years, leading to a continuous state of “drift”. Wagner *et al.* (2011) also report on a post-roll-out modification, but in this case, the ERPS is reconfigured to match the functionality of the legacy systems for its grant accounting module. Overall, research focusing on the adoption of IISs finds that ERPS implementation has no significant effect on management accounting techniques (Scapens and Jazayeri, 2003). In contrast, it is suggested that ERPSs might have a stabilizing effect on the management accounting practice (Granlund and Malmi, 2002; Rom and Rohde, 2007).

Despite the advent of IISs and its profound impact on the way processes are executed, Granlund and Malmi (2002) report that companies continue to use separate spread-sheets or software for balanced scorecards (Kaplan and Norton, 1992) and activity-based costing (Cooper and Kaplan, 1991). These software programs are more user-friendly and flexible with regard to analysis and reporting (Granlund and Malmi, 2002). ERPSs have been evolving since their inception in light of the developments in new software deployment paradigms, such as service-oriented architecture and advancements in business analytics. However, the same question remains: once an IISs is in place, how does it impact MAA (i.e. to what extent does it affect the ability to change existing management accounting practices or does it enforce the status quo)? Note that I am not arguing whether IT has an impact on management accounting in a “one-off” sense; my intention is to explore to what extent the IS facilitates or impedes management accounting from evolving.

Hypotheses development

Interest in management accounting change and innovation accelerated as a result of the relevance lost debate (Johnson and Kaplan, 1987) and subsequent reports on varying degrees of adoption rates of advanced management accounting practices across organizations and industries (Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003; Fullerton and McWatters, 2004; Krumwiede, 1998). Management accounting change is conceptualized in a number of studies using different theories, including contingency (Langfield-Smith, 1997; Melnyk *et al.*, 2013; Sisaye and Birnberg, 2010), institutional (Burns and Scapens,

2000) and social constructivist (Quattrone and Hopper, 2001), as well as actor network theory (Briers and Chua, 2001, p. 239).

As noted previously, this study does not focus on change *per se* (i.e. what factors lead to management accounting change or innovation) (Abernethy and Bouwens, 2005; Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003; Fullerton *et al.*, 2012; Waweru *et al.*, 2004) as change is not the end, but instead, it views the ability to change, that is “adaptability”, as an important capability. I use the term adaptability as it goes beyond the traditional view of change (i.e. the transition from State A to State B; Quattrone and Hopper, 2001). Instead, I conceptualize adaptability as a capability that allows management accounting to change on an ongoing basis when required. The term adaptability is also more “directed” in the sense that it captures the adaptation for a purpose (i.e. the theory of fit; Otley, 1980).

I define MAA as the extent to which changes are made to management accounting practices to maintain fit with the organizational environment. For example, organizations might decide to switch to activity-based costing or may wish to incorporate some additional key performance indicators in their scorecards/dashboards due to new strategic directions or regulations. Hence, the level of MAA would determine the extent to which such modifications are carried out. This could be considered a capability, as companies that can adapt to new situations and conditions are more likely to attain fit.

According to the resource-based view of the firm, capabilities refer to an organization’s ability to assemble, integrate and deploy valued resources to achieve competitive advantage (Russo and Fouts, 1997). Resources include tangible, personnel-based and intangible resources (Grant, 1991). Physical assets, such as plant, equipment and inventory, are examples of tangible resources. Intangible resources refer to reputation, brand image, customers and ISs. Personnel-based resources include technical know-how, organizational culture, training and loyalty.

Information system flexibility

Flexibility has been recognized as an important element of an organizations’ IT infrastructure (Byrd and Turner, 2000). Davenport and Linder (1994) view IT infrastructure flexibility as a core competency and state that IT infrastructure should enable change to effectively respond to new market conditions. IT infrastructure as a concept can be divided into two related components: a technical IT infrastructure and a human IT infrastructure (Henderson and Venkatraman, 1992). The technical IT infrastructure entails the integration and interconnectedness of telecommunications, computers, software and data, so that all type of information can be expeditiously and effortlessly routed through the network and processes (Rockart *et al.*, 1996). The human IT infrastructure refers to human and organizational skill, expertise, competencies, knowledge, commitments, values, norms and organizational structures (Broadbent and Weill, 1997; Broadbent *et al.*, 1999; Henderson and Venkatraman, 1992, 1993). Gebauer and Schober (2006) define information system flexibility (ISF) in terms of the flexibility-to-use and the flexibility-to-change the system. Flexibility-to-use refers to the range of process requirements met without requiring a major change to the IS. On the other hand, flexibility-to-change is the degree to which a system can be changed in the future by technical staff (Gebauer and Schober, 2006).

Integration is a key feature of modern ISs, such as ERPs. Most likely, the most defining characteristic of integration is the single database concept. Chapman and Kihn (2009) report that integration in terms of a common data architecture improves performance by enabling repair, internal and global transparency and flexibility. These four design characteristics are derived from Adler and Borys (1996) and facilitate an *enabling* approach to management control. Repair refers to a situation where the user can address uncertainties to avoid a breakdown in the process. This may be supported by an IISs if the system allows for some user modifications to the reporting or if the users can drill down information (see operationalization in Chapman and Kihn, 2009). This design feature is desirable so that users can better address unforeseen circumstances. This feature is related to flexibility because modifications to the interface or features need to suit the specific work demands of individuals (Adler and Borys, 1996). An IISs can support this feature, as it would allow some configuration through constrained user options (Chapman and Kihn, 2009). Flexibility in this context refers to flexibility-to-use, but not to flexibility-to-change (Gebauer and Schober, 2006). Flexibility-to-change the system is equally important and needs to be considered, as not all required changes to management accounting can be made through user changes. Some changes might require intervention from the IT function through, for example, reprogramming. Given the importance of flexibility in facilitating change, I posit the following hypothesis:

H1. Information system flexibility is positively related to management accounting adaptability.

Information system integration

The second enabling design characteristic *internal transparency* refers to an IISs' ability to provide an "excellent platform for the development of a control system that can inform its users in detail concerning the inner workings it acts upon" (Chapman and Kihn, 2009, p. 155). Thus, information integration makes processes visible (McAdam and Galloway, 2005) and can support cognitive, as well as managerial integration through standardization and refining the balance between internal and global transparency (Beretta, 2002). Furthermore, Scapens and Jazayeri (2003, p. 229) report that "SAP encouraged greater cross-functional co-operation and team working". Because ERPs are cross-functional, forcing the firm out of traditional, functional and locational silos (O'Leary, 2000), business unit managers and management accountants might be in a better position to identify weaknesses in the current reporting practices. This also agrees with Chapman and Kihn (2009), who argue that IISs may support global transparency through its extensive process mapping and standardization efforts, allowing its users to see how local actions impact larger organizational goals and strategies, as well as by allowing interaction between previously distant individuals (Chapman and Kihn, 2009). The last point (i.e. "the interaction between previously distant individuals") is specifically important as the diffusion of knowledge is critical to innovation (T. Burns and Stalker, 1961).

Studies on cross-functional teams report that when employees from different functional areas of the business work together, they have a bigger potential to generate new ideas and solutions (Anderson *et al.*, 2002; Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003; Cohen and Bailey, 1997). Hence, this reinforces the argument that management accountants on cross-functional teams with higher levels of internal and global transparency might be

able to better identify weaknesses of current MAS and initiate a review. Similarly, management accountants are more likely to innovate when they have more interaction with the users of management accounting information, allowing them to learn more about the business units, which can then lead to a variety of management accounting innovations (Emsley, 2005). IISs are in a good position to facilitate and offer such opportunities. Hence, I predict a positive relationship between IISs and MAA as captured in *H2*:

H2. Information systems integration is positively related to management accounting adaptability.

Shared knowledge

In terms of adaptability, I argue that the interaction between the IT function and managers is critical because ISs continuously evolve and change their features (Quattrone and Hopper, 2001). Whereas the IS may allow a certain level of user customization, major changes to the system can only be made through the support of the IT function. Hence, a higher level of interaction can increase the likelihood that goals between management and IT are aligned. It is also known that collaborative relationships between system developers and end users is a critical source of innovation and can enhance and develop new capabilities (Pan *et al.*, 2014; Wheeler, 2002). Furthermore, in-depth knowledge of technologies, processes and people in and across diverse functional areas is recognized as drivers of organizational performance (Badaracco, 1990), which is also true for the IS group's ability to effectively work with diverse functional groups (Rockart and Short, 1991). This relationship has possibly intensified in the recent years, as business processes have become more embedded in technologies, such as ERPSs, and workflow management systems. Thus, management and management accountants today are increasingly dependent on the IT group for technical support and for changes required to the existing ISs. This can be accomplished through *shared knowledge*, which is defined as an understanding and appreciation among IS and managers for the technologies and processes that affect their mutual performance (Nelson and Cooperider, 1996). For example, Elbashir *et al.* (2011) find that shared knowledge between operational managers and IT along with the intensity of effort (absorptive capacity) is related to business intelligence tool adoption and assimilation. This leads to the third hypothesis:

H3. Shared knowledge between IT and other management is positively related to management accounting adaptability.

Management accounting effectiveness

An adaptable management accounting system can improve the effectiveness of the management accounting function. Adaptability is necessary because the environments in which organizations operate are likely to change. As predicted by contingency theory and previously discussed, changes in technologies, market conditions, organizational style and strategy require new management accounting practices (Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003), and MAS are required to adapt to support manager's new information requirements (Chenhall and Langfield-Smith, 1998; Gul, 1991; Perera *et al.*, 1997). A lack of adaptability in light of such changes may result in management accounting systems that are no longer relevant or fit. They may, therefore, lack the

capability to provide relevant information for decision-making and control. Hence, an adaptable management accounting system is likely to be more effective than a system that is relatively static. This leads to *H4* and to the research model in [Figure 1](#):

H4. Management accounting adaptability is positively related to management accounting effectiveness.

Research design

Data and method

The sample for the survey consisted of Australian and New Zealand companies. I did not limit the sample to any particular industry or sector, although a constraint applied to turnover, which was a minimum of 1 million AUD. The majority of the respondents were high-level managers, such as CEOs, CFOs and other business unit managers, consistent with previous studies that have studied management accounting ([Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003](#)) and its interaction with IT ([Chapman and Kihn, 2009](#)). The Orbis Bureau Van Dijk Database was used to collect the names of the individuals in the sample organizations. Personal e-mail addresses of respondents were available for only a small subset of the companies. I, therefore, sent the survey to the generic e-mail addresses (e.g. investor relations) of the companies, assuming that the e-mail would be forwarded to the relevant person. The survey was e-mailed in June 2013 to companies in Australia and in New Zealand. In total, 93 responses were received. Of those, 7 were eliminated due to missing data, resulting in 86 usable responses. Additionally, a number of e-mails were received, indicating that it was against company policy to provide information on internal matters. In the final sample, 63 firms were from Australia and 23 from New Zealand. The sample size was comparable to relevant previous surveys in Australia ([Booth et al., 2000](#)) (55 firms) and elsewhere, for example, Finland ([Hyvönen, 2003](#)) (86




Figure 1.
Research model

firms), Greece (Spathis, 2006) (73 firms) and the UK (Sangster *et al.*, 2009) (62 firms). There were more than four observations per measured item in the final model, which is adequate according to Nicolaou and Masoner (2013). I also tested for response bias, but no significant differences were found between early and late respondents.

Explorative factor analysis was used to test the internal consistency of the constructs and correlation analysis and partial least squares (PLS) modeling to test the hypothesized relationships between the constructs in the model.

Measures

All measures had a minimum of three indicators. The ISI measure was based on the study by Chapman and Kihn (2009) and focused on the common database concept, the most prominent feature of the IISs. The measure contained three questions using a seven-point Likert-type scale ranging from (1) disagree completely to (7) agree completely. Cronbach's alpha, a measure of construct reliability, equaled 0.83, which was above the recommended 0.7 value (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). Average variance extracted (AVE) for this measure was 0.73, significantly higher than the 0.5 benchmark. One loading was below 0.7 but higher than 0.5. This was not a concern as values that are higher than 0.5 are considered acceptable in explorative studies (Hulland, 1999). IS flexibility-to-use (ISFTU) and flexibility-to-change (ISFTC) were derived from the study by Gebauer and Schober (2006). ISFTU and ISFTC were measured through four and three questions, respectively, using the same seven-point Likert-type scale as IS integration. The ISFTC and ISFTU measures were modeled as second-order formative constructs, as correlations between the indicators were not expected to be high. For example, "IT personnel have the skills and appropriate attitude to make changes to the system" does not necessarily mean that the "systems are designed in a modular way".

Shared knowledge between the IT function and management was based on the study by Elbashir *et al.* (2011). The measure contained four questions using a seven-point Likert-type scale ranging from (1) disagree completely to (7) agree completely. I modeled this construct as formative because "understanding the work environment" and "appreciation of accomplishments" were viewed as forming, rather than reflecting the construct and also because it involved two different parties. MAA and MAE contained four questions each. One item in each construct was reverse coded. The loadings, Cronbach's alpha and AVE values were satisfactory as per Table I, although one indicator was dropped from the MAA measure due to a low loading.

Results

The average age of the respondents was approximately 50, with more than 8 years of experience in their current position. Only a small proportion of the respondents had non-managerial positions (e.g. controller or accountant), whereas the remaining respondents consisted of CEOs (10), CFOs (39), Finance Managers (11) and other business unit managers. More than half of the respondents had a turnover of at least 100 million AUD (AUD is at about parity with the US dollar). In terms of employees, approximately 33 per cent indicated that they had 101-500 employees, 30 per cent had less than 100 employees, 19 per cent of the firms had more than 1,000 employees and 18 per cent of the firms employed 501-1,000 persons. The manufacturing and service industries were represented in similar proportions. The most widely represented

Constructs and indicators	Loadings	Cronbach's alpha	AVE
<i>Reflective constructs</i>			
Information system integration			
Information in reports produced by our information systems is entirely based on common sources of data (e.g. a common database)	0.97	0.83	0.73
We have fully integrated information systems that contain both financial and non-financial information	0.98		
Information systems used in our organization have access to the same data source	0.54		
Management accounting adaptability			
Changes to management accounting techniques and tools are made when necessary	0.86	0.84	0.76
Management accounting reports evolve with changing needs	0.90		
We have the flexibility to change our internal reporting practices if required	0.86		
<i>Management accounting effectiveness</i>			
Management accounting in our organization is considered effective	0.83	0.77	0.59
Internal reporting does not meet the requirements of the management	0.76		
Management accounting is capable of providing all the information required	0.69		
Management accounting reports are useful and relevant for decision-making	0.77		
<i>Formative constructs</i>			
Information system flexibility to use	NA	NA	NA
Information systems are flexible in terms of functionality			
Information systems are flexible in terms of database scope			
Information systems are flexible in terms of user interface			
Information systems are flexible in terms of processing capacity			
Information system flexibility to change			
IT personnel have the skills and the appropriate attitudes to make changes to the information systems			
Applications are compatible and allow access to each other			
Our systems are designed in a modular way and require relatively little effort and vendor intervention to make changes to the system			
Shared knowledge			
Managers understand the work environment (problems, tasks, roles, etc.) of the information systems managers			
Information systems managers understand the work environment (problems, tasks, roles, etc.) of other managers			
Managers appreciate the accomplishments of the information systems managers			
Information system managers appreciate the accomplishments of other managers			

Note: NA = Not applicable to formative constructs

Table I.
Operationalization of constructs and loadings of reflective items in PLS

industries in the respondent group were manufacturing, other services, construction, finance, wholesale and materials.

Inter-construct correlations, obtained from the SmartPLS software (Ringle *et al.*, 2005), are shown in Table II. Although not very high, except for the correlation between

MAA and MAE, the values were positive and gave an indication that the proposed relations were likely to hold. The square roots of AVE are also presented in Table II along with the correlations. These values were larger than the correlations with other values below, indicating that the condition for discriminate validity was met.

I used the PLS modeling technique with the SmartPLS software to test the hypotheses (Ringle *et al.*, 2005). PLS analysis confirmed *H1*, as the path between IS flexibility and MAA was positive and significant at the 0.05 level (Table III). As for *H2*, although the association was positive as predicted, the hypothesis was not confirmed because the *t*-value was 0.93, which was below the required threshold value of 1.64. I also tested for a direct relation between ISI and MAE, but this path was also insignificant. Another test was performed to see whether IS flexibility moderated the relation between ISI and MAA. There was evidence of a moderation effect as both the moderating path and the path between ISI and MAA were significant. This is further discussed in the next section. *H3* and *H4* were both confirmed as the relations were positive and highly significant. R^2 for MAA and for MAE was 0.132 and 0.390, respectively.

Further analyses were also performed to test for non-linearity in the relationships using the WarpPLS software. As previously demonstrated, *H2* (without the moderator variable) was not supported. However, the path between IS flexibility and MAA strengthened (0.42) and was highly significant. Furthermore, R^2 for MAA was higher than before (0.28) but lower for MAE (0.31). Overall, these additional tests confirmed the

Construct	Reflective constructs			Formative constructs		
	ISI	MAA	MAE	KS	ISFU	ISFC
ISI	0.885					
MAA	0.129	0.871				
MAE	0.169	0.624	0.768			
KS	0.031	0.316	0.380	NA		
ISFU	0.037	0.147	0.083	0.034	NA	
ISFC	0.068	0.068	0.032	0.034	0.086	NA

Notes: Square root of AVE in diagonal for reflective constructs; NA = Not applicable to formative constructs

Table II. Inter-construct correlations and square root of AVE

Hypotheses	Coefficient	<i>t</i> -statistic
<i>H1</i> . IS flexibility > management accounting adaptability	0.139	2.19*
<i>H2</i> . IS integration > management accounting adaptability	0.122	0.93
<i>H3</i> . Shared knowledge > management accounting adaptability	0.305	4.42**
<i>H4</i> . Management accounting adaptability > management accounting effectiveness	0.625	11.95**
<i>Control variables</i>		
Turnover	-0.049	0.47
Employees	0.037	0.36

Notes: R^2 MA adaptability = 0.132; MA effectiveness = 0.390; **path significant at the 0.01 level; *path significant at the 0.05 level

Table III. Path coefficients and control variables

results from SmartPLS. I controlled for size in terms of turnover and employees, as large companies may have more resources to adapt their management accounting practices to current needs as compared to smaller firms (Hoque and James, 2000; Innes and Mitchell, 1990). No significant path was found with respect to size and MAA.

Discussion and conclusions

MAA is an important characteristic and capability for the management accounting function, as it helps to maintain the fitness of the system and largely determines MAE. I have focused on particular aspects of technical and human IT infrastructures to see whether data integration, IS flexibility and shared knowledge can explain the extent to which management accounting is capable to adapt to new contingencies. This is important from a contingency theory point of view and the theory of fit as a misfit may affect performance adversely (Melnyk *et al.*, 2013), lead to management accounting stagnation and loss of relevance as witnessed some decades ago. The model has some explanatory power, which contributes to both theory and practice.

As predicted, the results suggest that ISF is a driver of MAA. This is in line with the study by Davenport (1998) and Dechow and Mouritsen (2005), who argue that often organizations do not change their IS because of difficulties with ERPSs. On the other hand, no significant direct relation was found between ISS and MAA. Further analysis revealed that there was a moderation effect of IS flexibility on the relation between ISI and MAA. This might suggest that the way the system is implemented (i.e. in a modular and flexible way or not) may be the culprit rather than integration (or an ERPS) itself. The results also highlight the importance of shared knowledge, values and communication between the stakeholders of the IS (Rettig, 2007). Finally, I found evidence that MAA leads to MAE due to the systems' ability to provide decision relevant information on an ongoing basis, which is consistent with management accounting theory.

The study contributes to the management accounting and accounting information system (AIS) literature by elaborating on studies that focus on IS and management accounting change and innovation (Cavalluzzo and Ittner, 2004; Granlund and Malmi, 2002; Ittner and Larcker, 1998; Quattrone and Hopper, 2001, 2006; Rom and Rohde, 2007; Scapens and Jazayeri, 2003; Shields, 1995). Unlike previous research, I do not argue whether IISs, such as ERPSs, have an (immediate) impact on management accounting but, instead, have sought to explore whether the IS facilitates or hinders management accounting practices from evolving and adapting over time. I introduce the concept of adaptability that encapsulates both, the idea of adaptation for fitness from an evolutionary economics point of view (Dew *et al.*, 2004) and continuity. The results indicate that the "right" IS can act as a facilitator for the development and adaptation of management accounting practices as initially put forward by Innes and Mitchell (1990). However, the results also suggest that a constrained IS may to some extent inhibit management accounting practices from evolving. This is also in agreement with findings from previous research on IISs (Dechow and Mouritsen, 2005; Granlund and Malmi, 2002; Rom and Rohde, 2007).

The results of this study might be of interest to AIS and management accounting researchers in terms of assessing the implications of (maturing) IS on management accounting practices in a broader timeframe. This study is also significant with respect to the recent discourse on the convergence of management and financial accounting

(Hemmer and Labro, 2008) and the role of IT within as a facilitator (Taipaleenmäki and Ikaheimo, 2011). However, it is worth noting that IS/IT by itself is often not the motivator or a catalyst for change but, once again, merely a “facilitator” (Innes and Mitchell, 1990).

The results have some implications for practice. First, although ISs may impact management accounting practices, the reverse may also be true as suggested by Rom and Rohde (2007). However, for this to happen (i.e. to prevent management accounting from stagnation), organizations need to improve their technical and human IT infrastructure capabilities (Henderson and Venkatraman, 1992). This is especially relevant for companies that still rely on outdated legacy systems. The move toward cloud computing may come as a relief because the cost of switching to better solutions is becoming lower in light of higher competition in the cloud computing industry and the work being carried out toward data standardization. Despite its risks (Benlian and Hess, 2011; Yigitbasioglu, 2014), cloud computing offers many benefits and allows easy access to advanced software that is specifically relevant for small- and medium-sized enterprises that lack the resources to invest in cutting-edge technology (Marston *et al.*, 2011).

Furthermore, because IISs, such as ERPSs, are inherently complex, two “issues” are likely to remain important in the future. First, I recommend that organizations periodically provide IT training to their employees, which would highlight capabilities as well as limitations of the current system (Bingi *et al.*, 1999). Additionally, IS usability will continue to play an increasingly important role in light of the ever-advancing computing capabilities, as it is associated with IS perceived usefulness (Calisir and Calisir, 2004) and IS user satisfaction (Venkatesh and Davis, 1996). Better usability will also improve productivity and require less user training, saving time and costs for organizations (Topi *et al.*, 2005). IS usability is also a potential area for research in the future, as Granlund and Malmi (2002) suggest.

A limitation of this study relates to the sample size. The model would benefit from additional testing and confirmation with data from other geographical regions, such as the USA or Europe. Additionally, the model could be refined further by using more comprehensive measures for ISFTU and ISFTC. However, this might require the survey to be completed by both business unit managers and IT, which might pose an additional challenge. Further testing is also needed to confirm the moderating effect of flexibility on the relation between IS integration and MAA.

The model explained approximately 13 (and 28 per cent in subsequent non-linearity tests) per cent of MAA. This may be considered a limitation or weakness. However, I did not expect a high R^2 because I chose to focus only on certain (relatively unexplored) aspects within the IS, and it is known that a host of other IT- and non-IT-related factors impact management accounting change and innovation and potentially adaptability. These are data quality and availability issues (Cavalluzzo and Ittner, 2004; Shields, 1995), management accountants’ or managers’ characteristics, such as education and qualifications (Emsley *et al.*, 2006; Naranjo-Gil and Hartmann, 2007; Naranjo-Gil *et al.*, 2009), as well as role involvement (Emsley, 2005) and organizational design (Abernethy and Bouwens, 2005; Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003).

In this study, I looked at management accounting practices in general and did not focus on a particular area, such as performance management or management control. Future research could, therefore, investigate the impact of the IS on more specific areas of management accounting and control. Finally, future research could adopt qualitative

methods to study the relation between the IS and MAA. For example, a multiple case study would provide some in-depth information about management accounting choices in light of IS considerations, such as limitations or capabilities.

References

- Abernethy, M.A. and Bouwens, J. (2005), "Determinants of accounting innovation implementation", *Abacus*, Vol. 41 No. 3, pp. 217-240.
- Adler, P.S. and Borys, B. (1996), "Two types of bureaucracy: enabling and coercive", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 61-89.
- Ajit, D., Donker, H. and Patnaik, S. (2014), "ERP system implementation announcements: does the market cheer or jeer the adopters and vendors?", *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 339-356.
- Anderson, S.W., Hesford, J.W. and Young, S.M. (2002), "Factors influencing the performance of activity-based costing teams: a field study of ABC model development time in the automobile industry", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 195-211.
- Badaracco, J.L., Jr (1990), *The Knowledge Link: How Firms Compete Through Strategic Alliances*, Harvard Business Press, Boston.
- Baines, A. and Langfield-Smith, K. (2003), "Antecedents to management accounting change: a structural equation approach", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 28 No. 7, pp. 675-698.
- Benlian, A. and Hess, T. (2011), "Opportunities and risks of software-as-a-service: findings from a survey of IT executives", *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp. 232-246.
- Beretta, S. (2002), "Unleashing the integration potential of ERP systems: the role of process-based performance measurement systems", *Business Process Management Journal*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 254-277.
- Bingi, P., Sharma, M.K. and Godla, J.K. (1999), "Critical issues affecting an ERP implementation", *IS Management*, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 7-14.
- Boisot, M. and Child, J. (1999), "Organizations as adaptive systems in complex environments: the case of China", *Organization Science*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 237-252.
- Booth, P., Matolcsy, Z. and Wieder, B. (2000), "The impacts of enterprise resource planning systems on accounting practice – the Australian experience", *Australian Accounting Review*, Vol. 10 No. 22, pp. 4-18.
- Briers, M. and Chua, W.F. (2001), "The role of actor-networks and boundary objects in management accounting change: a field study of an implementation of activity-based costing", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 237-269.
- Brignall, S. (1997), "A contingent rationale for cost system design in services", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 325-346.
- Broadbent, M. and Weill, P. (1997), "Management by maxim: how business and IT managers can create IT infrastructures", *Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 77-92.
- Broadbent, M., Weill, P. and Neo, B. (1999), "Strategic context and patterns of IT infrastructure capability", *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 157-187.
- Burns, J. and Scapens, R.W. (2000), "Conceptualizing management accounting change: an institutional framework", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 3-25.
- Burns, T. and Stalker, G.M. (1961), *The Management of Innovation*, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship, Tavistock Publications, London.

- Byrd, T.A. and Turner, D.E. (2000), "Measuring the flexibility of information technology infrastructure: exploratory analysis of a construct", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 167-208.
- Calisir, F. and Calisir, F. (2004), "The relation of interface usability characteristics, perceived usefulness, and perceived ease of use to end-user satisfaction with enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 505-515.
- Cavalluzzo, K.S. and Ittner, C.D. (2004), "Implementing performance measurement innovations: evidence from government", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 243-267.
- Chapman, C.S. and Kihn, L.-A. (2009), "Information system integration, enabling control and performance", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 151-169.
- Chenhall, R.H. and Langfield-Smith, K. (1998), "Adoption and benefits of management accounting practices: an Australian study", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 1-19.
- Cohen, S.G. and Bailey, D.E. (1997), "What makes teams work: group effectiveness research from the shop floor to the executive suite", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 239-290.
- Cooper, R. and Kaplan, R.S. (1991), "Profit priorities from activity-based costing", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 69 No. 3, pp. 130-135.
- Cooper, R. and Kaplan, R.S. (1998), "The promise – and peril – of integrated cost systems", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 76 No. 4, p. 109.
- Davenport, T. and Linder, J. (1994), "Information management infrastructure: the new competitive weapon", in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences in Hawaii, IEEE, Hawaii*, pp. 885-896.
- Davenport, T.H. (1998), "Putting the enterprise into the enterprise system", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 76 No. 4.
- Dechow, N. and Mouritsen, J. (2005), "Enterprise resource planning systems, management control and the quest for integration", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 30 No. 7, pp. 691-733.
- Dew, N., Sarasvathy, S.D. and Venkataraman, S. (2004), "The economic implications of exaptation", *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 69-84.
- Elbashir, M.Z., Collier, P.A. and Sutton, S.G. (2011), "The role of organizational absorptive capacity in strategic use of business intelligence to support integrated management control systems", *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 86 No. 1, pp. 155-184.
- Emsley, D. (2005), "Restructuring the management accounting function: a note on the effect of role involvement on innovativeness", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 157-177.
- Emsley, D., Nevicky, B. and Harrison, G. (2006), "Effect of cognitive style and professional development on the initiation of radical and non-radical management accounting innovations", *Accounting & Finance*, Vol. 46 No. 2, pp. 243-264.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 382-388.
- Fullerton, R.R., Kennedy, F.A. and Widener, S.K. (2012), "Management accounting and control practices in a lean manufacturing environment", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 50-71.

- Fullerton, R.R. and McWatters, C.S. (2004), "An empirical examination of cost accounting practices used in advanced manufacturing environments", *Advances in Management Accounting*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Vol. 12, pp. 85-113.
- Gates, S. (1999), *Aligning Strategic Performance Measures and Results*, The Conference Board, New York, NY.
- Gebauer, J. and Schober, F. (2006), "Information system flexibility and the cost efficiency of business processes", *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 122-147.
- Granlund, M. and Malmi, T. (2002), "Moderate impact of ERPS on management accounting: a lag or permanent outcome?", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 299-321.
- Grant, R.M. (1991), "The resource-based theory of competitive advantage", *California Management Review*, Vol. 33 No. 3, pp. 114-135.
- Gul, F.A. (1991), "The effects of management accounting systems and environmental uncertainty on small business managers' performance", *Accounting and Business Research*, Vol. 22 No. 85, pp. 57-61.
- Hayes, D.C., Hunton, J.E. and Reck, J.L. (2001), "Market reaction to ERP implementation announcements", *Journal of Information Systems*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 3-18.
- Hemmer, T. and Labro, E. (2008), "On the optimal relation between the properties of managerial and financial reporting systems", *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 46 No. 5, pp. 1209-1240.
- Henderson, J.C. and Venkatraman, N. (1992), *Strategic Alignment: A Model for Organizational Transformation Through Information Technology*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Henderson, J.C. and Venkatraman, N. (1993), "Strategic alignment: leveraging information technology for transforming organizations", *IBM Systems Journal*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 4-16.
- Hofstede, G.H. (1967), *The Game of Budget Control: How to Live With Budgetary Standards and Yet be Motivated by Them*, Van Gorcum, Groningen.
- Hopwood, A.G. (1990), "Accounting and organisation change", *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 3 No. 1.
- Hoque, Z. and James, W. (2000), "Linking balanced scorecard measures to size and market factors: impact on organizational performance", *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 1-17.
- Hulland, J. (1999), "Use of partial least squares (PLS) in strategic management research: a review of four recent studies", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 195-204.
- Hunton, J.E., Lippincott, B. and Reck, J.L. (2003), "Enterprise resource planning systems: comparing firm performance of adopters and nonadopters", *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 165-184.
- Hyvönen, T. (2003), "Management accounting and information systems: ERP vs BoB", *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 155-173.
- Innes, J. and Mitchell, F. (1990), "The process of change in management accounting: some field study evidence", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 3-19.
- Ittner, C.D. and Larcker, D. (1998), "Innovations in performance measurement: trends and research implications", *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 205-238.

- Johnson, H.T. and Kaplan, R.S. (1987), *Relevance Lost: The Rise and Fall of Management Accounting*, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
- Jun Lin, Z. and Yu, Z. (2002), "Responsibility cost control system in China: a case of management accounting application", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 447-467.
- Kaplan, R.S. and Norton, D.P. (1992), "The balanced scorecard – measures that drive performance", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 70 No. 1, pp. 71-79.
- Krumwiede, K. (1998), "The implementation steps of activity-based costing and the impact of contextual and organizational factors", *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 239-277.
- Langfield-Smith, K. (1997), "Management control systems and strategy: a critical review", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 207-232.
- Lapsley, I. and Wright, E. (2004), "The diffusion of management accounting innovations in the public sector: a research agenda", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 355-374.
- Lawrence, P.R. and Lorsch, J.W. (1986), *Organization and Environment: Managing Differentiation and Integration*, Harvard Business School Classics.
- McAdam, R. and Galloway, A. (2005), "Enterprise resource planning and organisational innovation: a management perspective", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 105 No. 3, pp. 280-290.
- Marston, S., Li, Z., Bandyopadhyay, S., Zhang, J. and Ghalsasi, A. (2011), "Cloud computing - the business perspective", *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 51 No. 1, pp. 176-189. doi: 10.1016/j.dss.2010.12.006.
- Melnyk, S.A., Bititci, U., Platts, K., Tobias, J. and Andersen, B. (2013), "Is performance measurement and management fit for the future?", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 25 No. 2.
- Naranjo-Gil, D. and Hartmann, F. (2007), "Management accounting systems, top management team heterogeneity and strategic change", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 32 No. 7, pp. 735-756.
- Naranjo-Gil, D., Maas, V.S. and Hartmann, F.G. (2009), "How CFOs determine management accounting innovation: an examination of direct and indirect effects", *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 667-695.
- Nelson, K.M. and Coopridge, J.G. (1996), "The contribution of shared knowledge to IS group performance", *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 409-432.
- Nicolaou, A.I. (2004), "Firm performance effects in relation to the implementation and use of enterprise resource planning systems", *Journal of Information Systems*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 79-105.
- Nicolaou, A.I. and Bhattacharya, S. (2006), "Organizational performance effects of ERP systems usage: the impact of post-implementation changes", *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 18-35.
- Nicolaou, A.I. and Masoner, M.M. (2013), "Sample size requirements in structural equation models under standard conditions", *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, Vol. 14 No. 4.
- O'Leary, D.E. (2000), *Enterprise Resource Planning Systems: Systems, Life Cycle, Electronic Commerce, and Risk*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Otley, D.T. (1980), "The contingency theory of management accounting: achievement and prognosis", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 5 No. 4, pp. 413-428.

- Pan, G., Teoh, S. and Seow, P.S. (2014), "Coordinating the processes of resource enrichment and capability deployment: lessons from IT implementation at a medium-sized accounting firm", *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, Vol. 22 No. 4.
- Perera, S., Harrison, G. and Poole, M. (1997), "Customer-focused manufacturing strategy and the use of operations-based non-financial performance measures: a research note", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 22 No. 6, pp. 557-572.
- Quattrone, P. and Hopper, T. (2001), "What does organizational change mean? Speculations on a taken for granted category", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 403-435.
- Quattrone, P. and Hopper, T. (2006), "What is IT? SAP, accounting, and visibility in a multinational organisation", *Information and Organization*, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 212-250.
- Rettig, C. (2007), "The trouble with enterprise software: has enterprise software become too complex to be effective?", *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Fall, Vol. 49 No. 1.
- Ringle, C.M., Wende, S. and Will, S. (2005), *SmartPLS 2.0 M3 Beta*, SmartPLS, Hamburg.
- Rockart, J.J. and Short, J.E. (1991), "The networked organization and the management of interdependence", in Scott Morton, M.S., *The Corporation of the 1990s: Information Technology and Organizational Transformation*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Rockart, J.F., Earl, M.J. and Ross, J.W. (1996), "Eight imperatives for the new IT organization", *Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 43-55.
- Rom, A. and Rohde, C. (2007), "Management accounting and integrated information systems: a literature review", *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 40-68.
- Russo, M.V. and Fouts, P.A. (1997), "A resource-based perspective on corporate environmental performance and profitability", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 534-559.
- Sangster, A., Leech, S.A. and Grabski, S. (2009), "ERP implementations and their impact upon management accountants", *Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management*, Vol. 6 No. 2, p. 125.
- Scapens, R.W. and Jazayeri, M. (2003), "ERP systems and management accounting change: opportunities or impacts? A research note", *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 201-233.
- Shields, M.D. (1995), "An empirical analysis of firms' implementation experiences with activity-based costing", *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 148-165.
- Sisaye, S. and Birnberg, J. (2010), "Extent and scope of diffusion and adoption of process innovations in management accounting systems", *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 118-139.
- Spathis, C. (2006), "Enterprise systems implementation and accounting benefits", *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 67-82.
- Taipaleenmäki, J. and Ikäheimo, S. (2013), "On the convergence of management accounting and financial accounting – the role of information technology in accounting change", *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 321-348.
- Topi, H., Lucas, W. and Babaian, T. (2005), "Identifying usability issues with an ERP implementation", in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems*, Miami, 24-28 May.

-
- Velcu, O. (2007), "Exploring the effects of ERP systems on organizational performance: evidence from Finnish companies", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 107 No. 9, pp. 1316-1334.
- Venkatesh, V. and Davis, F.D. (1996), "A model of the antecedents of perceived ease of use: development and test*", *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 451-481.
- Volkoff, O., Strong, D.M. and Elmes, M.B. (2007), "Technological embeddedness and organizational change", *Organization Science*, Vol. 18 No. 5, pp. 832-848.
- Wagner, E.L., Moll, J. and Newell, S. (2011), "Accounting logics, reconfiguration of ERP systems and the emergence of new accounting practices: a sociomaterial perspective", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 181-197.
- Waweru, N.M., Hoque, Z. and Uliana, E. (2004), "Management accounting change in South Africa: Case studies from retail services", *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 17 No. 5, pp. 675-704.
- Wheeler, B.C. (2002), "NEBIC: a dynamic capabilities theory for assessing net-enablement", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 125-146.
- Yigitbasioglu, O. (2014), "Modelling the intention to adopt cloud computing services: a transaction cost theory perspective", *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, Vol. 18 No. 3.

About the author

Dr Ogan Yigitbasioglu is a Lecturer at the Queensland University of Technology, School of Accountancy. His research focuses on the adoption and impact of business information systems, particularly within the areas of accounting and logistics. He has published several papers on the adoption of performance dashboards, inter-organizational information systems and cloud computing in journals, such the *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems* and the *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*. Ogan Yigitbasioglu can be contacted at: ogan.yigitbasioglu@qut.edu.au

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com