

Ackermann's function

Definition. Ackermann's function is recursively defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(m, 0) &= m + 1 && \text{(i)} \\ \alpha(0, n + 1) &= \alpha(1, n) && \text{(ii)} \\ \alpha(m + 1, n + 1) &= \alpha(\alpha(m, n + 1), n) && \text{(iii)} \end{aligned}$$

The Ackermann function is well defined, i.e. we can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 0. For all $y, x \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha(x, y) = z$.

Proof. By a main induction on y and a secondary induction on x :

- Base Case [Main Induction]:

$$\alpha(x, 0) = x + 1 \quad \text{Def. of } \alpha$$

- Inductive Step [Main Induction]:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N} \\ \alpha(x, k) = z_{x,k} \rightarrow \exists z_{x,k+1}: \alpha(x, k + 1) = z_{x,k+1}$$

- Base Case [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(0, k + 1) = \alpha(1, k) = z_{1,k} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ \text{Main Ind. Hypo.} \end{array}$$

- Inductive Step [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(t, k + 1) = z_{t,k+1} \rightarrow \exists z_{t+1,k+1}: \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) = z_{t+1,k+1}$$

$$\alpha(t + 1, k + 1) = \alpha(\alpha(t, k + 1), k) = z_{z_{t,k+1},k} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ \text{2nd Ind. Hypo.} \\ \text{Main Ind. Hypo.} \end{array}$$

Lemma 1. For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha(m, n) > m$.

Proof. By a main induction on n and a secondary induction on m :

- Base Case [Main Induction]:

$$\alpha(m, 0) = m + 1 > m \quad \text{Def. of } \alpha$$

- Inductive Step [Main Induction]:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \\ \alpha(m, k) > m \rightarrow \alpha(m, k + 1) > m$$

- Base Case [2nd Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0, k + 1) &= \alpha(1, k) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &> 1 && \text{Main Ind. Hyp.} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Step [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(t, k + 1) > t \rightarrow \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) > t + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) &= \alpha(\alpha(t, k + 1), k), && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ p &= \alpha(t, k + 1) > t && \text{2nd Ind. Hyp.} \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(p, k) &> p, \quad p \geq t + 1 && \text{Main Ind. Hyp.} \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(p, k) &> t + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. For all $y, z, x \in N$, if $x < z$ then $\alpha(x, y) < \alpha(z, y)$.

Proof. By a main induction on y and a secondary induction on z :

- Base Case [Main Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x, 0) &= x + 1, && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ \alpha(z, 0) &= z + 1 && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ x < z &\Rightarrow \alpha(x, 0) < \alpha(z, 0) \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Step [Main Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x, z \in N: x < z \\ \alpha(x, k) < \alpha(z, k) &\rightarrow \alpha(x, k + 1) < \alpha(z, k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

- Base Case [2nd Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0, k + 1) &= \alpha(1, k), && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ \alpha(1, k + 1) &= \alpha(\alpha(0, k + 1), k) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &= \alpha(\alpha(1, k), k) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &> \alpha(1, k) && \text{Lemma 1} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha(0, k + 1) < \alpha(1, k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Step [2nd Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x < t, \\ \alpha(x, k + 1) < \alpha(t, k + 1) &\rightarrow \alpha(x, k + 1) < \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) \\ \\ \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) &= \alpha(\alpha(t, k + 1), k), && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &> \alpha(t, k + 1) && \text{Lemma 1} \\ &> \alpha(x, k + 1) && \text{2nd Ind. Hyp.} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha(x, k + 1) < \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. For all $y, z, x \in N$, if $y < z$ then $\alpha(x, y) < \alpha(x, z)$.

Proof. By a main induction on z and secondary induction on x :

- Base Case [Main Induction]:

$$\forall x \in N \alpha(x, 0) < \alpha(x, 1)$$

- Base Case [2nd Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0,0) &= 1, && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ \alpha(0,1) &= \alpha(1,0) = 2 && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(0,0) &< \alpha(0,1) \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Step [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(k, 0) < \alpha(k, 1) \rightarrow \alpha(k + 1, 0) < \alpha(k + 1, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(k + 1, 0) &= k + 2, && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ \alpha(k + 1, 1) &= \alpha(\alpha(k, 1), 0) = \alpha(k, 1) + 1, && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ k + 1 &< \alpha(k, 1) && \text{2nd Ind. Hyp.} \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(k, 1) + 1 &> k + 2 = \alpha(k + 1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Step [Main Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y \in N: y < k \\ \alpha(x, y) < \alpha(x, k) \rightarrow \alpha(x, y) < \alpha(x, k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

- Base Case [2nd Induction]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0, k + 1) &= \alpha(1, k) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &> \alpha(0, k) && \text{Lemma 2.1} \\ &> \alpha(0, y) && \text{Main Ind. Hyp.} \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Step [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(t, y) < \alpha(t, k + 1) \rightarrow \alpha(t + 1, y) < \alpha(t + 1, k + 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) &= \alpha(\alpha(t, k + 1), k) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &> \alpha(\alpha(t, y), k) && \text{Lemma 1, 2nd Ind. Hyp.} \\ &> \alpha(\alpha(t, y), y - 1) && \text{Main Ind. Hyp.} \\ &= \alpha(t + 1, y) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. For all $m, n \in N$, $\alpha(m, n + 1) \geq \alpha(m + 1, n)$.

Proof. By a main induction on n and a secondary induction on m :

- Base Case [Main Induction]:

$$\forall m \in N \quad \alpha(m, 1) \geq \alpha(m + 1, 0)$$

- Base Case [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(0, 1) = \alpha(1, 0) \quad \text{Def. of } \alpha$$

- Inductive Step [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(m, 1) \geq \alpha(m + 1, 0) \rightarrow \alpha(m + 1, 1) \geq \alpha(m + 2, 0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(m + 1, 1) &= \alpha(\alpha(m, 1), 0) = \alpha(m, 1) + 1 && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &\geq \alpha(m + 1, 0) + 1 && \text{2nd Ind. Hyp.} \\ &= m + 3 = \alpha(m + 2, 0) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \end{aligned}$$

- Inductive Step [Main Induction]:

$$\forall m \in N \quad \alpha(m, k + 1) \geq \alpha(m + 1, k) \rightarrow \alpha(m, k + 2) \geq \alpha(m + 1, k + 1)$$

- Base Case [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(0, k + 2) = \alpha(1, k + 1) \quad \text{Def. of } \alpha$$

- Inductive Step [2nd Induction]:

$$\alpha(t, k + 2) \geq \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) \rightarrow \alpha(t + 1, k + 2) \geq \alpha(t + 2, k + 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t + 1, k + 2) &= \alpha(\alpha(t, k + 2), k + 1) && \text{Def. of } \alpha \\ &\geq \alpha(\alpha(t + 1, k + 1), k + 1), && \text{Lemma 2.1, 2nd Ind. Hyp.} \\ \alpha(t + 1, k + 1) &> t + 1 && \text{Lemma 1} \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(t + 1, k + 2) &\geq \alpha(t + 2, k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Majorization Lemma. For every primitive recursive function $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ there exists an $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) < \alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_k), c)$$

for all values of x_1, \dots, x_k .

Proof. By induction on definition of primitive recursive functions. There are five cases:

1. Null Function

$$n(x) = 0 < x + 1 = \alpha(x, 0) \Rightarrow c = 0$$

2. Successor Function

$$s(x) = x + 1 < x + 2 = \alpha(x + 1, 0) \leq \alpha(x, 1) \Rightarrow c = 1$$

3. Projection Function

$$u_i^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_i < x_i + 1 \leq \alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_k), 0) \Rightarrow c = 0$$

4. Composition

Let $g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ and $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ be primitive recursive functions and let $h(x_1, \dots, x_m) = g(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, f_k(x_1, \dots, x_m))$. Assume that there are d and c_i such that

$$g(y_1, \dots, y_k) < \alpha(\max(y_1, \dots, y_k), d),$$

$$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) < \alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_m), c_i) \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

$$g(f_1, \dots, f_k) < \alpha(\max(f_1, \dots, f_k), d)$$

Hyp.

Assume that $\max(f_1, \dots, f_k) = f_j$

$$\begin{aligned} g(f_1, \dots, f_k) &< \alpha(f_j, d) \\ &< \alpha(\alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_m), c_j), d) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1

Put $c_{max} = \max(c_1, \dots, c_k, d)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(\alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_m), c_j), d) \\ &\leq \alpha(\alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_m), c_j), c_{max}) \\ &< \alpha(\alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_m), c_{max} + 1), c_{max}) \\ &= \alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_m) + 1, c_{max} + 1) \\ &\leq \alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_m), c_{max} + 2) \\ &\Rightarrow h(x_1, \dots, x_k) < \alpha(\max(x_1, \dots, x_k), c_{max} + 2) \\ &\Rightarrow c = c_{max} + 2 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2

Lemma 2.1

Def. of α

Lemma 3

5. Primitive Recursion

Let f be a primitive recursive function and h is defined by recursion:

$$h(0) = 0, \quad h(t + 1) = f(t, h(t))$$

Suppose there exists a c_f such that $f(a, b) < \alpha(\max(a, b), c_f)$ for all a, b . Let $c_h = c_f + 1$. We prove by induction that $h(x) < \alpha(x, c_h)$ for all x .

- Base Case

$$h(0) = 0 < 1 = \alpha(0, 0) < \alpha(0, c_h) \quad \text{Def. of } \alpha, \text{ Lemma 2.2}$$

- Inductive Step

$$h(t) < \alpha(t, c_h) \Rightarrow h(t + 1) < \alpha(t + 1, c_h)$$

$$h(t + 1) = g(t, h(t)) < \alpha(\max(t, h(t)), c_g)$$

If $\max(t, h(t)) = t$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\max(t, h(t)), c_g) &= \alpha(t, c_g) \\ &< \alpha(t + 1, c_g) < \alpha(t + 1, c_h) \end{aligned} \quad \text{Lemma 2.1, 2.2}$$

If $\max(t, h(t)) = h(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\max(t, h(t)), c_g) &= \alpha(h(t), c_g) \\ &< \alpha(\alpha(t, c_h), c_g) \\ &= \alpha(t + 1, c_h) \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Lemma 2.1, Hyp.} \\ \text{Def. of } \alpha \end{array}$$

Theorem. The Ackerman function is not primitive recursive.

Proof. Define $\beta(x) = \alpha(x, x) + 1$ and suppose α (Ackerman function) is primitive recursive, then also β is primitive recursive. So, by the lemma 3, there exists a k such that $\beta(x) < \alpha(x, k)$ for all x .

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(k) &= \alpha(k, k) + 1 \\ &< \alpha(k, k) \end{aligned}$$

Is a contradiction. Therefore α is not a primitive recursive function.