

CFD-based Fluid-Structure Interaction Models for Turbomachinery Seals and Gas Turbine Exhaust Systems

Kenny Krogh Nielsen Team Leader and Technology Focal Point, Fluid Dynamics DANSIS Fluid-Structure Interaction Seminar 26 March 2014

Working together for a safer world

Outline

- Fluid-Structure Interaction Models for Turbomachinery Seals:
	- Rotordynamic models
	- Rotordynamic seal force prediction
	- Grid Perturbation Modelling (GPM)
		- Example
	- Instationary Perturbation Modelling (IPM)
		- Example
- Fluid-Structure Interaction Models for Gas Turbine Exhaust Systems:
	- Overview of typical gas turbine exhaust system
	- CFD analysis techniques and results
	- High Cycle Fatigue (HCF) exhaust failures caused by vibrations
	- FSI vibration analysis techniques and results
	- On-site vibration measurements
	- Low Cycle Fatigue (LCF) exhaust duct failures caused by thermal loads
	- FSI thermal analysis techniques and results

Turbomachinery Seals: Rotordynamic Models

• High pressure compressor example (offshore gas injection)

Blind Test Case (Round Robin): Seal Rotordynamic Forces etc

- Kocur and Nicholas at 36th Turbo Symposium
- Labyrinth seal force assessments included here

Figure 11. Normalized Destabilizing Force for the Balance Piston Labyrinth.

Figure 15. Labyrinth Seal Impact on Predicted Log Dec.

- Very large spread in seal force predictions
- Dd is the labyrinth seal increment to rotordynamic stability measure "Log Dec" for compressor
- Compressor stability acceptance criterion: Log Dec: 0.2
- Seals often play a critical and maybe not all that well understood role for rotordynamic stability!

Turbomachinery Seals: Rotordynamic Force Prediction

- How to determine seal rotordynamic forces and coefficients?
- Normal linearized model for reaction forces assuming small perturbation movements

$$
-\begin{Bmatrix} F_x \\ F_y \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K & k \\ -k & K \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C & c \\ -c & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \ddot{X} \\ \ddot{Y} \end{Bmatrix}
$$

- Experience or empirical formulas
- Bulkflow seal codes: very fast special purpose codes for specific seal configurations
	- several knobs to turn in order to calibrate against experimental data
- What to do for new advanced seal designs or operation outside experimental data?
- CFD based prediction techniques have proven reliable
	- extensive validation has been undertaken by LRC and other parties
- Description of CFD based methods to follow

Grid Perturbation Modelling (GPM)

- Whirling rotor model / Grid Perturbation Model (GPM)
- Rotor circular whirl orbit imposed
- Solving for rotating frame of reference model
- Steady state CFD calculation
- 5-20% of clearance imposed as eccentricity
- Force integration

$$
F_r = \sum_{rotor} pA_y \qquad F_t = \sum_{rotor} pA_x
$$

• Several whirl speeds + curve fitting gives coefficients

$$
\overline{F_t} = \frac{F_t}{\varepsilon} = k - C\Omega_w - m\Omega_w^2
$$

$$
\overline{F_r} = \frac{F_n}{\varepsilon} = -K - c\Omega_w + M\Omega_w^2
$$

• GPM neither handles non-axisymmetry geometry nor provides frequency dependent coefficients

GPM of Long Labyrinth Seal Example

- 18 teeth Teeth-on-Stator labyrinth seal
- Axial cut of seal
- 3D model representation
- Inlet and outlet cavities
- 10% eccentricity
- Model size: 1.5 million nodes

GPM of Long Labyrinth Seal Example

Tangential Impedance, High Seal InletSwirl

Rotor whirl speed [rad/s]

- Typically 4-6 whirl frequencies (14 calculated in this example)
- Excellent linear fit (gives k and C)

©Lloyd's Register Consulting • Rotordynamic coefficients and seal leakage flow need to be grid independent

Instationary Perturbation Modelling (IPM)

- Handles any geometry, ie. hole-pattern and honeycomb seals
- Prescribed relative movement of rotor wrt stator
- Transient CFD calculations
- Unidirectional sinusoidal motion:

 $Y = A \sin(\Omega t)$

• Inserted into gas damper seal rotordynamic model:

$$
-\begin{Bmatrix} F_x \\ F_y \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K(\Omega) & k(\Omega) \\ -k(\Omega) & K(\Omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C(\Omega) & c(\Omega) \\ -c(\Omega) & C(\Omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \end{Bmatrix}
$$

Schematic cut through seal (perpendicular to axis of rotation):

• Complex numbers are introduced and the rotordynamic coefficients are given by: **x**

$$
Re(-F_y/A) = K(\Omega)
$$

\n
$$
Im(-F_y/A) = C(\Omega)\Omega
$$

\n
$$
Im(-F_y/A) = C(\Omega)\Omega
$$

\n
$$
Im(-F_y/A) = c(\Omega)\Omega
$$

• Reaction forces and the displacement have the same frequency, but are shifted in phase

IPM Setup

- Selected set of perturbation frequencies, typically 3-5 subsynchronous
- Perturbation amplitude: 10% of concentric clearance
- 30-100 time steps per period perturbation period
- Ideal gas fluid properties
- k-ω SST turbulence model
- Scalable wall functions
- ANSYS CFX
- Hole-pattern seals: $2-20 \times 10^6$ nodes
- Typical run time: 8 hours per point on 64 cores

IPM: Constant Clearance Hole-Pattern Seal Example

3D Model Representation: Seal Data:

ANSYS

- CFD-based rotordynamic coefficients compared to experimental data and ISOTSEAL bulkflow model predictions
- ISOTSEAL is a state-of-theart bulkflow model for holepattern and honeycomb seals from Texas A&M University TurboLab

IPM: Constant Clearance Hole-Pattern Seal

- IPM correctly predicts the frequency dependence trends of the rotordynamic coefficients
- Very good agreement with experimental results
- Better prediction than ISOTSEAL for the four coefficients

Overview of Typical Gas Turbine Exhaust System

CFD Analysis Techniques

- ANSYS environment: CFX and Fluent CFD codes
- Mesh size: 5-30 million nodes
- y+ approx. 5-30 for GT exhaust applications
- Law-of-the-wall wall boundary condition
- Time-resolved analyses required for FSI analyses
- Scale Adaptive Simulation (SAS) turbulence model
- Time step $<$ 1*10⁻³ s
- Vendor velocity and temperature profile applied to exhaust collector inlet
- Mass flow at inlet of collector: 70-90 kg/s
- Isothermal, heat transfer and Conjugate Heat Transfer (CHT)
- Temperature ~ 500 degrees Celcius
- Temperature dependent exhaust gas physical properties
- Cluster with 400+ CPU cores

CFD Analysis Results

- Power turbine outlet velocity approx 200 m/s
- Strong power turbine exit jet impacts exhaust collector back wall
- High velocity "sheet" up along the back wall
- Strongly separated and non-uniform flow
- Secondary flows and high losses
- The traditional exhaust collector design is "flow-wise" not optimum – "root cause" of many problems!

CFD Analysis – The Structural Excitation

- Very marked transient characteristics of flow out of exhaust collector
- Unsteady surface pressures serve as structural excitation

High Cycle Fatigue (HCF) Exhaust Duct Failures – Caused by Vibrations

- Åsgard B failures in 2005/2006: Platform shutdown due to flow induced vibrations
- Transition just downstream exhaust collector
- Externally insulated
- Low damping
- Very high velocities close to damaged area
- High pressure fluctuations

FSI Vibration Analysis Techniques

- Finite Element Analysis (FEA) of exhaust duct to find structural natural frequencies
	- Shell element representations
	- Temperature effects
	- Modal analyses
	- Harmonic analyses
- Unsteady CFD model contains unsteady surface pressures, which is mapped to FEA model
- FSI one-way coupling is used as the structural influence on the flow is not significant (1-3 mm)
- FSI used to evaluate which natural frequencies are critical and design improvements can be guided
- FSI used as input for fatigue assessment, which includes high temperature creep-fatigue effects

FSI Vibration Analysis Results

• Mode shapes, deformations and stresses are determined

On-Site Vibration Measurements

Original Design Final Desi

- Sweep in power: up-down-up-down note frequency range on plots
- Before approx. 100 mm/s RMS now approx. 3 mm/s RMS
- Vibration amplitude reduction by a factor of approx. 30 for critical component!
- Total vibration levels reduced by a factor of approx. 5

Low Cycle Fatigue (LCF) Exhaust Duct Failures - Caused by Thermal Loads

- During start-up and shut-down of gas turbine very high temperature gradients are present in ducting
- Stresses may exceed material yield levels locally and plastic deformation occurs
- After a relatively low number of cycles cracks may appear

FSI Thermal Analysis Techniques

- Pseudo-transient time-stepping approach used for conjugate heat transfer (CHT) calculations
- Much larger time scales compared to vibrational FSI (now "heat-up" time scales need to be resolved)
- URANS: k-ω SST turbulence model
- Mapping of temperature instead of pressure to FEA model
- Very non-uniform internal convective heat transfer predicted by means of CFD
- Insulation material and outside convective effects included

Temperature of duct structure during start-up

FSI Thermal Analysis Results

- Stress snapshots during start-up
- Stresses may locally exceed the material yield limit

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?

Pipeline Span Vortex-Induced Vibration presentation can be downloaded at:<http://www.dansis.dk/default.aspx?id=93>

Kenny Krogh Nielsen Team Leader and Technology Focal Point, Fluid Dynamics T +45 2726 0046 E kenny.krogh-nielsen@lr.org

Lloyd's Register Consulting www.lr.org/consulting

Working together for a safer world

Lloyd's Register and variants of it are trading names of Lloyd's Register Group Limited, its subsidiaries and affiliates. Copyright © Lloyd's Register Consulting. 2013. A member of the Lloyd's Register group.