

[6]. It is troublesome to assume the victims' mental state is sound or that their decisions are fully rational and calculated. Therefore, it is hard for us to defend self-immolation suicide attempts as ethically justified. Instead, we argue that if the women were in a different environmental context and a different mental state – achievable goals – the women would choose to live rather than to die. We support efforts to improve the lives of Iranian women at high risk of suicide so that self-immolation rates decrease.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hoyle J. The distressed patient seeking self-harm: autonomy versus beneficence. *J Am Coll Dent* 2012;80(2):32–6.
- [2] Rezaie L, Khazaie H, Soleimani A, Schwebel DC. Is selfimmolation a distinct method for suicide? A comparison of Iranian patients attempting suicide by self-immolation and by poisoning. *Burns* 2011;37(1):159–63.
- [3] Rezaie L, Khazaie H, Soleimani A, Schwebel DC. Selfimmolation a predictable method of suicide: a comparison study of warning signs for suicide by self-immolation and by self-poisoning. *Burns* 2011;37(8):1419–26.
- [4] Rezaie L, Hosseini SA, Rassafiani M, Najafi F, Shakeri J, Khankeh HR. Why self-immolation? A qualitative exploration of the motives for attempting suicide by selfimmolation. *Burns* 2014;40(2):319–27.
- [5] Rezaie L, Schwebel DC. Research priorities for suicide by self-immolation: beyond quantitative approaches. *Burns* 2013;39(3):536.
- [6] Khankeh HR, Hosseini SA, Rezaie L, Shakeri J, Schwebel DC. A model to explain suicide by self-immolation among Iranian women: a grounded theory study. *Burns* 2015;41(7):1562–71.

Hamid Reza Khankeh PhD^{a,b}
Seyed Ali Hosseini PhD^c
Leeba Rezaie PhD^{d,*}
Jalal Shakeri MD^e
David C. Schwebel PhD^f

^aDepartment of Nursing, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences (USWR), Tehran, Iran

^bDepartment of Clinical Science and Education, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

^cDepartment of Occupational Therapy, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences (USWR), Tehran, Iran

^dSleep Disorders Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

^eDepartment of Psychiatry, Farabi Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (KUMS), Kermanshah, Iran

^fDepartment of Psychology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL USA

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 833 826 5255;
fax: +98 833 826 4163

E-mail address: rezaie.phd.ot@gmail.com (L. Rezaie)

Accepted 16 March 2016

Letter to the Editor

Social determinants of health: Gender inequity or inequality and W.H.O. recommendations



Dear Editor,

We greatly enjoyed reading Wallace and Duke's response (2016) entitled: "Acid and burns violence against women: The role of the courts" [1]. They cited our previous paper regarding the recent acid attacks in Iran [2]. Hence, we would like to add some points to their valuable paper.

First of all, they noted acid attacks as serious examples of gender inequity which require interventions to prevent future occurrences. In our opinion, it is important to highlight that there is a difference between gender inequity, which we wrote about, and gender inequality. Indeed, equity means social justice or fairness and it is an ethical perspective which relates to human rights [3]. Accordingly, considering the Ottawa Charter, health promotion should focus on achieving equity in health, so as to decrease differences in health status and provide equal opportunities and resources to strengthen all people to gain their fullest health potential [4]. Hence, inequity has a moral concept and refers to disparities which are not necessary and unavoidable [5]. In other words, inequity is not the same as inequalities as the latter may be unavoidable because of genetic differences or social and economic conditions or be a result of personal lifestyles [6]. Therefore, we believe that there are biological gender inequalities between women and men because such differences are unavoidable.

Based on the Women and Gender Equity Knowledge Network of the Commission on Social Determinants of Health, gender inequity affects the health of millions of girls and women [7]. It causes health problems through disparities in feeding patterns, violence against women as well as lack of opportunities, resources and decision-making power [8].

Furthermore, Wallace and Duke introduced law and litigation as mechanisms which could protect the human rights of victims and prevent probable future cases. Although we appreciate their prominent idea, some other solutions should not be ignored.

The World Health Organization has suggested several additional interventions by which governments, international organizations, donors and civil societies can improve gender equity other than legislation. First, it has been suggested that governments and international institutions can establish gender equity units within their central administration to strengthen assessments of planned actions and to ensure that women and men take advantage equitably. Second, governments can strengthen their inclusion of national informal work by accounting the economic contribution of housework, care work, and voluntary work. Third, finance policies can eliminate disparities in education and skills and promote economic participation by women. Lastly, investment in healthy sexual and reproductive services should be increased [8,9].

Authors' contribution

Prof. Payman Salamati designed the idea, revised the paper critically and approved the version to be published. Dr. Zohrehsadat Naji designed the idea, drafted the paper and approved the version to be published.

Conflict of interest

These authors do not have any conflict of interest to declare.

Role of the funding source

None.

Ethical approval

The paper has been prepared in accordance with the rules of the ethical review board of Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wallace HJ, Duke JM. Acid and burns violence against women: the role of the courts. Burns 2016;42:476–7, [http://www.burnsjournal.com/article/S0305-4179\(15\)00245-4/fulltext](http://www.burnsjournal.com/article/S0305-4179(15)00245-4/fulltext).
- [2] Salamati P, Naji Z. Do Iranians need more legislation against acid attackers? Burns 2016;42:475–6, [http://www.burnsjournal.com/article/S0305-4179\(15\)00244-2/fulltext](http://www.burnsjournal.com/article/S0305-4179(15)00244-2/fulltext).
- [3] Braveman P, Gruskin S. Defining equity in health. J Epidemiol Community Health 2003;57:254–8.
- [4] World Health Organization. The Ottawa charter for health promotion; 2016, <http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/previous/ottawa/en/> [accessed 19.02.16].
- [5] Whitehead M. The concepts and principles of equity and health. Health Promot Int 1991;6:217–28.
- [6] Povlsen L, Borup IK, Fosse E. The concept of equity in health-promotion articles by Nordic authors: a matter of some confusion and misconception. Scand J Public Health 2011;39(Suppl. 6):50–6.
- [7] Gita S, Piroska Ö, Asha G. Unequal, unfair, ineffective and inefficient. Gender inequity in health: why it exists and how we can change it. In: Final Report to the WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Stockholm, Sweden: World Health Organization & Karolinska Institutet; 2007.
- [8] CSDH W. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. In: Final Report of the Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Geneva: WHO; 2008.
- [9] Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TA, Taylor S, Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Lancet 2008;372:1661–9.

P. Salamati

Professor of Community Medicine, Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Sina Hospital, Hassan Abad Square, Imam Khomeini Avenue, Tehran, Iran (Z. Naji)

Z. Naji*

Iranian Association for Philosophy of Religion, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author at: Iranian Association for Philosophy of Religion, No. 4, 64th Street, Kurdistan Express, Tehran, Iran.

Tel.: +98 21 88046891; fax: +98 21 88046891

E-mail addresses: psalamati@tums.ac.ir (P. Salamati)
zohrehsadat.naji@gmail.com (Z. Naji)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2016.03.030>

0305-4179/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd and ISBI. All rights reserved.

Letter to the Editor

Gender is inevitable: Gender-based violence is avoidable



With great interest we have read the article by Salamati and Naji, “Social determinants of health: Gender inequity or inequality and WHO recommendations” [1]. We are grateful for another opportunity to discuss the issue of gender inequality in relation to acid and burns violence against women and the role of the courts.

Salamati and Naji [1] propose that there is a substantive difference between the terms gender ‘equity’ and gender ‘equality’ in relation to acid attacks against women. While we agree that there is not always consensus on the meaning of the terms ‘inequality’ and ‘inequity’, they are closely related expressions. We consider that our use of the term ‘inequality’ in our recent letter [2] fits with a widely accepted interpretation. Differences exist between all human beings, but inequality exists when disadvantaged social groups systematically experience worse outcomes [3]. For example, gender inequality exists when women persistently experience social disadvantage or discrimination. Gender inequality is cited as a key determinant or factor that underpins violence against women, including acid and burns violence [4–7].

The term ‘equity’ is often interpreted as the process of being fair. According to Braveman [3], “pursuing equity means pursuing the elimination of inequalities”. Hence the two terms, inequality and inequity, are closely related and both focus on the subset of differences between human beings that are “avoidable, unfair, and unjust” [8]. Salamati and Naji [1] argue that differences in outcomes arising from genetic (sic), and social and economic conditions are unavoidable. By contrast, we consider that these differences in outcomes are avoidable, and hence reflect inequality. While there are important biological differences between men and women, these differences should not lead to disadvantage – gender inequality is avoidable.

As described in Wallace and Duke [2], the promotion of gender equality in society is essential to address the structural and root causes of violence against women – in the hope that this will change harmful social norms. All sectors of society need to be involved. We agree with Salamati and Naji [1] that in addition to legislation other solutions or interventions need to be implemented. In both our recent